

April 3, 2020 04:01 AM GMT

Biotechnology | North America

COVID-19: A Prescription To Get The US Back To Work

We see a slow return to work with US cases peaking in ~50 days. We expect social distancing reductions in June as diagnostic/serology testing are widely available and hospital capacity is extended. Variable levels of social distancing will remain until a vaccine is widely available in Spring 2021.

US likely to experience two peaks – one for the coastal regions and one for the interior:

We have built individual state models to look at the time to peak across the US. While we expect an initial peak in ~14 days for the cities with the first outbreaks, we see a second peak from interior regions of the country pushing the ultimate US peak to mid-May ([Exhibit 1](#)). While we would expect some resumption in activity in the coastal regions prior to a full US peak, we believe resumptions will be limited until a full US peak. Governors will be hesitant to broadly relax their social distancing until the immediate threat of imported cases is diminished. We believe our view of a peak in mid-May could be underappreciated by the market.

Governors are likely to be cautious in relaxing social distancing; We believe three key criteria must be met prior to a start of resumption in activity.

Importantly, investors should expect social distancing to wax and wane over the next year to contain "hot spots" before a vaccine is widely available: (1) While new cases will peak first, we do not believe Governors are likely to allow any meaningful resumption until cumulative mortality peaks which lags new cases by ~20 days. This suggests nominal resumption could begin in June; (2) States will need to have appropriate public health infrastructure and testing capacity to allow for surveillance of new "hot spots" which we also expect in June. The CDC currently maintains a flu surveillance network which tracks annual influenza activity. We believe a national surveillance system of a similar scale will be required to track COVID-19; and (3) serology testing (blood testing to see who is immune to the virus) must also be pervasive, which we also believe will take until June. With these in place, local governments are likely to start to allow more free movement. That said, if new infections materialize, we would expect significant social distancing measures to return.

Coming back to work will happen in stages with reduced staffing in the office:

We believe the first wave to return will be those who have recovered from the virus (positive serology) and the young/healthy. We see a maximum of 50% of employees being allowed back in the office over the summer months. We do argue that schools should be re-opened for the fall as kids do not appear to be significant vectors for disease spread. Importantly, investors should expect new waves of infection to arrive, as early as this fall. Those new waves will require

MORGAN STANLEY & CO. LLC

Matthew Harrison

EQUITY ANALYST

Matthew.Harrison@morganstanley.com +1 212 761-8055

Michael D Zezas, CFA

STRATEGIST

Michael.Zezas@morganstanley.com +1 212 761-8609

Chetan Ahya

CHIEF ECONOMIST AND GLOBAL HEAD OF ECONOMICS

Chetan.Ahya@morganstanley.com +1 212 761-6730

Ellen Zentner

ECONOMIST

Ellen.Zentner@morganstanley.com +1 212 296-4882

Zhen Zeng, Ph.D.

RESEARCH ASSOCIATE

Zhen.Zeng@morganstanley.com +1 +1-212-761-2745

Kostas Biliouris, Ph.D.

RESEARCH ASSOCIATE

Kostas.Biliouris@morganstanley.com +1 +1-212-761-1648

Maxwell N Skor

RESEARCH ASSOCIATE

Max.Skor@morganstanley.com +1-212-761-5282

Connor Meehan

RESEARCH ASSOCIATE

Connor.Meehan@morganstanley.com +1 212 761-8266

Thomas F Lavery, J.D.

RESEARCH ASSOCIATE

Thomas.Lavery@morganstanley.com +1 +1-212-761-0325

Biotechnology**North America****IndustryView****In-Line**

Morgan Stanley does and seeks to do business with companies covered in Morgan Stanley Research. As a result, investors should be aware that the firm may have a conflict of interest that could affect the objectivity of Morgan Stanley Research. Investors should consider Morgan Stanley Research as only a single factor in making their investment decision.

For analyst certification and other important disclosures, refer to the Disclosure Section, located at the end of this report.


variable levels of social distancing that are turned on or off depending on the level of the outbreak. The reason we highlight the need for an effective COVID-19 surveillance network is that the scope of these outbreaks can be minimized if they are discovered early. South Korea's handling of its outbreak is a prime example of this potential. Variable levels of social distancing are likely to persist through 2021 until there is a widely available vaccine. *Overall, investors should prepare for reduced levels of activity until there is a vaccine and should expect social distancing to wax and wane as hot spots develop over the next 1-2 years.*

Drug pipeline presents the only near-term opportunity to meaningfully alter the speed of resumption: Ahead of full availability of a vaccine, promising antivirals and antibody therapies are in the pipeline with data starting in April through the late-summer. We believe at least some of these drugs can be successful and help turn severe cases into more mild forms of the disease. Such a success could reduce the potential strain on hospitals and allow public health officials to support a broader re-opening of the economy ahead of a vaccine's availability. See our recent note on the [upcoming treatments for COVID-19 here](#).

We highlight key datapoints from China that can provide a benchmark for a resumption in activities. Importantly, we believe the US will lag China for key reasons we outline inside the report: China's peak new cases occurred on February 3. 80% of hotels reopened as of February 27, ~99% of businesses resumed some form of activity as of March 17, and 73% of restaurants reopened as of March 18. ~50% of metro passengers resumed travel as of March 26. China's economy reached ~80% of prior production levels ~40 days from the peak.

Exhibit 1: Projected timeline and milestones for a return to work in the US

Actual/Estimated New Case Count (United States, Non-Cumulative)




Source: Morgan Stanley Research

When Will The US Reach This Outbreak's Peak In New Daily Cases?

New U.S. regional models suggest geographic disparity in the outbreak stage. The overall country is likely to peak in ~20 days and reach a plateau of cumulative cases in ~2 months.

We built state-level models for the U.S. for all states with at least 1,000 cumulative confirmed cases as of 4/1, using the same methodology described before (see [here](#)). We identified 6 states as the leading states in terms of the outbreak stage: WA, CA, NY, NJ, MA, and MI, based on the start timing and spread scale. While the leading states are like to approach a peak within ~1-2 weeks, the rest of the country appears to lag by ~3 weeks. See [Exhibit 1](#) and [Exhibit 2](#) for the time to peak of the leading states, lagging states, and the overall country.

Exhibit 2: U.S. Overall Outbreak Dynamics Obtained by Aggregating Regional Models




Source: Morgan Stanley Research, China CDC, <https://github.com/nytimes/covid-19-data>

Key charts impacting our view on the US outbreak

- **US cases growing the fastest** – The US has now the largest number of cases among all countries, while the growth rate of US cases is now the highest among all countries we follow (see slope of US curve in left panel of [Exhibit 3](#)) and is accelerating faster than Italy when adjusted for the start of the respective outbreaks.


Exhibit 3: Reported cases for each country since the reporting of at least 100 cases (left) and comparison between US and Italy cases (right).



Source: Morgan Stanley Research, JHU CSSE

- US mortality/cases are not slowing despite social distancing** – Mortality is increasing at an exponential rate in the US at a slightly lower slope than Italy prior to its lockdown (Exhibit 4, left panel). While mortality will lag new cases, other countries have been able to slow from exponential growth after lockdowns. Further, although variable, the 2nd derivative of the US cases (defined as *new cases today - new cases yesterday*) demonstrates an increasing trend, diverging from the value of 0 (spread stabilization), indicating that the US case growth is not slowing (Exhibit 4, right panel).


Exhibit 4: Mortality in 4 key countries (left) and second derivative of COVID-19 cases in the US (right). Right panel: The black line corresponds to the values of the 2nd derivative while the numbers on the red circles refer to the number of COVID-19 cases at the respective timepoint. The blue dashed line shows the point at which the 2nd derivative equals zero, reflecting spread stabilization.



Source: Morgan Stanley Research, JHU CSSE, The COVID Tracking Project, Tencent News, <https://coronavirus.1point3acres.com/en>.

- New cases are growing faster than testing capacity** – Per Exhibit 5, the rates of testing positivity (cumulative positivity rate currently at ~20%) continue to trend higher across the US as testing rates lag other countries and cases are growing faster than tests, suggesting the US continues to lack enough testing capacity (see our recent analysis [COVID-19 Cases Growing Faster Than Tests As US Capacity Is Still Too Low](#))


Exhibit 5: Covid-19 cases versus testing capacity for 8 countries. The cutoff date is April 1st. Note that tests with pending results in the US are not taken into account and the positivity rate refers to cumulative (not daily) positivity rate.



Source: Morgan Stanley Research, JHU CSSE, The COVID Tracking Project, Wikipedia, South Korea CDC.

- **New "hot spots" are exhibiting growth above other regions** – Case rates for emerging US "hot spots", such as the 5 States presented in Exhibit 6, are growing at rates faster than all other countries or US states. This suggests new US cases could continue to accelerate.

Exhibit 6: Number of cases in US, Italy, and 5 key US States. The data cutoff is April 1st.



Source: Morgan Stanley Research, JHU CSSE, The COVID Tracking Project.

- **Social distancing measures remain not as strict as other countries** – The US has more limited quarantine measures compared to Italy or China (see timing and scope of the measures in Exhibit 7). Italy, which is now exhibiting stabilization, will likely reach peak ~15 days after China. This represents a time to peak that is 2x China and


3x South Korea. With the US potentially trending worse than Italy, we would expect more social distancing to reduce the risk of tracking significantly worse than Italy. Exhibit 8 indicates faster spreading with the reproduction number (R) of US (2.1) higher than Italy (1.36), suggesting that the spreading rate is higher in the US compared to Italy, while the doubling time of cases in the US (~5 days) is lower than Italy (17 days), pointing to a significantly faster elevation of case numbers in the US than Italy. We highlight that today's R and doubling time in the US are similar to those of Italy on March 22nd (our note [here](#)), which is a signal that the spread rate in the US lags Italy by ~10 days. As a reference, it took China ~25 days to attenuate its R value from ~2.1 (today's R in US) to 1 (indicates control of spreading), which is a soft indicator of the time required for COVID-19 containment under social distancing measures similar to China.

Exhibit 7: US Social Distancing Measures By State

State	Non-Essential Services Closed (1)	Stay at Home Order	Educational Facilities Closed	Travel Severely Limited
California	3/19/2020	3/19/2020	3/19/2020	N/A
Nevada	3/20/2020	4/1/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
New York	3/20/2020	3/22/2020	3/18/2020	N/A
Illinois	3/21/2020	3/21/2020	3/17/2020	N/A
New Jersey	3/21/2020	3/21/2020	3/18/2020	N/A
Louisiana	3/22/2020	3/23/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
Connecticut	3/23/2020	N/A	3/17/2020	N/A
Maryland	3/23/2020	3/30/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
Michigan	3/23/2020	3/24/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
Ohio	3/23/2020	3/23/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
Pennsylvania	3/23/2020	4/1/2020	3/17/2020	N/A
Delaware	3/24/2020	3/24/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
Indiana	3/24/2020	3/25/2020	3/19/2020	N/A
Massachusetts	3/24/2020	N/A	3/17/2020	N/A
New Mexico	3/24/2020	N/A	3/13/2020	N/A
West Virginia	3/24/2020	3/25/2020	3/14/2020	N/A
DC	3/25/2020	3/30/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
Hawaii	3/25/2020	3/25/2020	3/19/2020	N/A
Idaho	3/25/2020	3/25/2020	3/23/2020	N/A
Maine	3/25/2020	4/2/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
Vermont	3/25/2020	3/24/2020	3/18/2020	N/A
Washington	3/25/2020	3/23/2020	3/13/2020	N/A
Wisconsin	3/25/2020	3/25/2020	3/18/2020	N/A
Colorado	3/26/2020	3/26/2020	3/23/2020	N/A
Kentucky	3/26/2020	N/A	3/20/2020	N/A
Montana	3/26/2020	3/26/2020	3/15/2020	N/A
New Hampshire	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
Alabama	3/28/2020	N/A	3/19/2020	N/A
Alaska	3/28/2020	3/28/2020	3/16/2020	3/28/2020
North Carolina	3/30/2020	3/30/2020	3/14/2020	N/A
Tennessee	3/30/2020	N/A	3/20/2020	N/A
Mississippi	4/3/2020*	4/3/2020*	3/19/2020	N/A
Arizona	N/A	3/30/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
Arkansas	N/A	N/A	3/17/2020	N/A
Florida	N/A	4/3/2020*	3/17/2020	N/A
Georgia	N/A	N/A	3/18/2020	N/A
Iowa	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kansas	N/A	3/30/2020	3/17/2020	N/A
Minnesota	N/A	3/27/2020	3/18/2020	N/A
Missouri	N/A	N/A	3/23/2020	N/A
Nebraska	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
North Dakota	N/A	N/A	3/16/2020	N/A
Oklahoma	N/A	N/A	3/17/2020	N/A
Oregon	N/A	3/23/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
Rhode Island	N/A	3/28/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
South Carolina	N/A	N/A	3/16/2020	N/A
South Dakota	N/A	N/A	3/16/2020	N/A
Texas	N/A	N/A	3/19/2020	N/A
Utah	N/A	N/A	3/16/2020	N/A
Virginia	N/A	3/30/2020	3/16/2020	N/A
Wyoming	N/A	N/A	3/19/2020	N/A

*announced/planned; N/A = not announced.
Source: Morgan Stanley Research, <https://covid19.healthdata.org/projections>

Exhibit 8: Time-varying reproduction rate R and doubling time of COVID-19 cases. Note that the R in the plots refers to the time-varying effective R, which differs from the basic reproduction number (R0) that reflects R under ideal transmission conditions without any intervention. We also caution that our calculations are based on daily reported data and may be confounded by testing capacity, reporting timing, and different sources of data.




Source: Morgan Stanley Research, Johns Hopkins CSSE

What can we learn from Influenza Like Illness (ILI) reported data?

In [Exhibit 9](#) we present weekly ILI data in the US during 2016-2020 (left) and the correlation of the weekly 2020 ILI cases with the weekly COVID-19 cases (right). We highlight two main observations: (1) Unlike all previous 4 past years (2016-2019), wherein the number of ILI cases following week 9 is declining, in 2020 (pink line in [Exhibit 9](#), left panel) we observe an increase in the number of ILI cases at week 9 that continues until week 11 followed by a drop in the number of cases; (2) At week 10, i.e., one week after the number of ILI cases began to raise, the first COVID-19 cases were reported and their number increased significantly until week 13 when it was slightly attenuated (black line [Exhibit 9](#), left panel). The correlation between the number of ILI cases and COVID-19 cases is illustrated in the right side of [Exhibit 9](#) **Taken together, this data suggests that the trajectory of the ILI cases may constitute a leading factor for the COVID-19 cases trajectory**, although additional data is needed to validate this. We note that we observed the same pattern between the number of ILI cases and the number of deaths/hospitalizations/tests related to COVID-19. We will continue to monitor how the ILI-COVID19 correlation is evolving throughout the pandemic.

Exhibit 9: Data showing the ILI cases over the past 5 years and the COVID-19 cases over the past weeks (left) as well as the correlation between the ILI and COVID-19 cases (right).



Source: The COVID Tracking Project, CDC.

What Do Governor's Need To See To Start Relaxing Social Distancing Measures?

Peak in New Cases Precedes Peak in Cumulative Mortality Rate

We expect the US to experience two peaks associated with new COVID-19 cases starting with the coastal regions, followed by the interior of the country. These assumptions are based on initial hotspots, population density and projections based on individual state models. California/Washington and New York/New Jersey were the first states to report significant cases of COVID-19 and consequently they were some of the first states to issue "stay at home" orders. We expect new cases in these regions to peak in ~1-2 weeks, based on current testing capacity and positivity/mortality rates. We then project a second wave from interior regions of the country. These assumptions push the ultimate US peak in new cases to mid-May, followed by a peak in cumulative mortality rates. Ultimately, we believe Governors will begin considering relaxing social distancing measures once cumulative mortality rates peak, which lags new cases by ~20 days. This means that some resumption in activity will occur in the coastal regional areas prior to the full US peak, followed by other states that have experienced a similar trajectory in peak new cases and cumulative mortality. Ultimately, based on our projections we do not expect to see significant resumptions in activity for ~70 days.

Are hospital facilities able to handle new cases?

The most immediate challenge facing states is their ability to properly supply and equip hospitals and medical personnel with necessary equipment to confront the potential influx of COVID-19 patients. It is estimated that 5% of COVID-19 cases are critical and require ventilation, which implies ~30k ICU admissions in the US over the coming months. Private companies have begun manufacturing and shipping PPE and ventilators to at-risk states and the federal government is prepared to step-in with what is left of the national stockpile of medical supplies. To gauge the potential impact to hospitals, New York City could be a leading indicator as new cases continue to climb and their hospital capacity is pressured in the coming weeks. To address the anticipated surge in new cases, New York State has requested additional ventilators and PPE. Based on IHME projections, New York State expects to need 9,427 ventilators and 11,064 additional ICU beds to deal with the potential peak in hospitalized patients over the next 1-2 weeks. We expect other states to face similar capacity issues as the interior of the country experiences a peak in new cases. Assuming Governors feel their states have been adequately supplied to address their peak cases and that they have secured recurring shipments of goods, their view of the immediate risk could recede somewhat. Thus, we see hospital surge capacity as a key first step to considering relaxing social distancing.

Robust Public Health Infrastructure

Governors will be required to rely on their public health infrastructure when they decide to relax social distancing measures to determine who is immune and who is still


vulnerable to contracting COVID-19. China and South Korea have begun to restart their economies based on their ability to evaluate individuals that are currently infected with COVID-19 and others who have overcome the virus and have measurable protective antibodies. This vital infrastructure is in place because these countries had previous experiences with other outbreaks of respiratory syndromes, including SARS and MERS. Given these experiences, both countries have effectively quarantined people who could be spreading the disease and are able to monitor protected individuals that can go back to work. This means that the US will need to create a similar system to monitor the spread of COVID-19 and whether specific regions need to reinstitute social distancing measures to combat a second peak in new cases. Dr. Scott Gottlieb, the former commissioner of the FDA, proposed that the US needs a National Sentinel Surveillance System to track and actively monitor COVID-19 cases before we are able to really relax social distancing measures. This system could be modeled off our current ILINet, which is designed to track the spread of influenza across the country. This would require significant investment in the public-health infrastructure to monitor testing (for the presence of the virus and immunity) with same-day point-of-care diagnostic testing. Dr. Gottlieb argues that states can start reducing social distancing measures when there is a sustained reduction in cases for at least 14 days. Overall, we believe the US has to invest in a centralized surveillance system along with increasing testing capacity before states can confidently relax social distancing measures.

Testing Capacity

Testing capacity is currently the greatest limiting factor driving uncertainty related to the potential spread of COVID-19 and when states could potentially relax social distancing measures. Currently, the positivity rate of testing in the majority of states is still >5%, which indicates that the current testing rate is not sufficient to accurately address the outbreak. The US is currently able to test ~100,000 people per day, but we believe national testing capacity for active infections needs to increase to ~500,000 per day to accurately account for all potential cases. We believe this can be achieved by the end of April with a combination of high-throughput and point-of-care testing. We are closer to this goal with the recent approval of Abbott Labs' five-minute COVID-19 test, but we note that it only runs one patient sample at a time. Both public and private labs will need to implement high-throughput strategies to keep up with demand. The second phase of the testing strategy includes serology, which is designed to determine if someone has been exposed to COVID-19 and generated potentially protective antibodies against the virus. This is a critical part of the surveillance strategy allowing Governors to confidently relax social distancing measures and allow certain people back to work. There are a significant number of companies developing immunoassays to test for the presence of antibodies (IgG/IgM) against SARS-CoV-2 and a complete list of known assays in development can be found [here](#). Currently, companies are focused on developing either a rapid point-of-care test (similar to Abbott Labs' test) or a high-throughput ELISA assay that takes longer to run. The FDA recently announced that they will not object to companies distributing validated serology tests, but noted that negative results do not rule out SARS-CoV-2 infection. We highlight that the validation of these tests have not been reviewed by the FDA. We note that this policy does not apply to home testing, which the UK is currently evaluating. The list of commercial companies that have notified the FDA and are offering serology tests can be found [here](#). Regarding rapid tests, BioMedomics (~15 minute test) and BodySphere (~2 minute test) have received emergency use authorization (EUA). BioMedomics plans to supply one

million tests in the coming months and BodySphere announced that they expect to ship five million test kits in the new few weeks. These tests can works at point-of-care facilities, but we believe ELISA assays are more accurate, previously validated and potentially better designed to handle the high demand. We highlight recent United Biomedical (and subsidiary c19) partnership with San Miguel County, CO to test the entire county as a small scale example of what needs to be done on a national level (press release [here](#)). The UBI/c19 ELISA antibody test has been validated in China, Taiwan and California and can obtain results within a "couple hours." We would also like to highlight that serology testing is less technically challenging than q-RT-PCR, which means more companies may be inclined to develop these assays. We note that this could pose challenges regarding the reliability of serology testing across different platforms and supply chain concerns if multiple companies are sourcing similar reagents. **Overall, we believe states need a centralized surveillance system to identify and contain the spread of COVID-19 based on viral and serology testing. The required scale to supply the necessary tests represents a challenge, but we believe states will rely on testing and new case/mortality trajectories before they being to relax social distancing measures. We believe sufficient testing capacity could be available by June.**

Exhibit 10: Positivity rate of COVID-19 testing in each US state.



Source: The COVID Tracking Project.

How Long Will It Take To Get Back To Work and When Can Full Economic Activity Resume?

The federalist nature of American governance further supports the notion that the US economy is likely to reopen in stages as opposed to abruptly. Three points to consider:

- **It is unlikely the President can force States to abandon their quarantine orders:** While authorities granted to the CDC appear to give the executive branch broad authority to quarantine and restrict travel even if States have not done so, we're not aware of any such authority to force States to loosen quarantine measures.
- **Political incentives suggest local officials are more likely to react to facts on the ground than messaging from the White House:** In our view, it's easy to prioritize economic activity over health risks when a threat is distant. It's more difficult when the risk is present. Hospital capacity is a key variable. Without appropriate capacity, not only in terms of beds but also critical equipment like ventilators, Governors who choose not to social distance could be inviting a crisis whereby there is an insufficient supply of medical treatment for those who need it. Even if one thought the risk of such a humanitarian crisis were not enough to incentivize social distancing orders, the politics of such an outcome almost certainly are. Hence, it's not surprising that many state governors continue to state that they will follow their own timeline, rather than the White House's, for scaling back social distancing.
- **States run the risk of greater fiscal and political strain by underreacting:** States may be unsure of which risk is greater: the short term economic pain of asking citizens to disengage from the economy by sheltering in place OR the economic pain of having an engaged workforce that is subject to a fast-spreading illness and the human cost of having insufficient hospital capacity to treat illness. Mitigation measures for the latter may also be undesirable. Tracking and regular fever-checking could be costly and politically challenging, particularly at a time when states are already starting to run substantial deficits to cope with the crisis. Hence, we think states are likely to play it safe and order social distancing until sufficient healthcare infrastructure (i.e., hospital beds, widely available testing & treatment, and sufficient ventilator capacity) is available as a proper mitigant to the risks of scaling back social distancing.

Below are the milestones we think are required before a region of the country can start to open up while minimizing human and economic casualties:

- **Peak in new cases and deaths:** The first milestone is a peak in new cases and deaths. To guard against the risk that a large outbreak could reignite, new cases and deaths should be trending downwards, particularly a sustained reduction in cases for at least 14 days (i.e., one incubation period of the virus) should occur.
- **Fully staffed and equipped hospitals:** Hospitals in the region must safely be able to treat all patients requiring hospitalization without resorting to crisis standards of care. Indeed, hospitals must have sufficient staff and capacity, such as acute-care beds and ventilators, for the predicted level of demand.

- **Widely available high-capacity testing and surveillance:** Although the U.S. has increased its testing capacity in the last couple of weeks, more capacity is needed. That increased testing capacity would allow for a new focus on identifying individual cases, including those with asymptomatic or mild infections. To support this focus on case-based interventions, sufficient capacity to support contact tracing also needs to be developed in parallel. We do not expect to reach this milestone, though, until the end of May at the earliest.

Once these milestones are met, individual regions can begin to slowly relax physical distancing measures, allowing the appropriate time between each adjustment to carefully monitor for any outbreaks.

Once this stage is reached, we identify the following steps and events that would allow people to begin to go back to work and to school:

- **Determine who is immune:** A serological assay can be used to identify evidence of immunity in someone who has recovered from COVID-19. With serological testing, people who are immune – and thus no longer vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2 – can be identified. Those who are immune could be allowed to return to work. And those who are immune could serve in roles where the risk of being infected is high – such as front-line health care workers and contact tracers. Moreover, accurate and widely available serological testing could further reduce the uncertainty around the case fatality ratio, which may affect people's view on risk associated with the relaxing of physical distancing measures. For example, if serological testing identifies a large proportion of the population as having been infected but with mild or asymptomatic infections, the case fatality ratio could be lower than expected while those with immunity could be higher.
- **Identify those who are immune and allow those who are low-risk to return to work:** With widely available serological testing, a portion of workers can be tested. Those who are immune and the young and healthy could be allowed back to work. At work, physical distancing measures can be incrementally eased. For those who are neither immune nor part of a low-risk population, teleworking could continue where possible.
- **Allow children back to school:** Newly opened schools should continue to review and implement physical distancing measures with input from local officials. We recommend that schools increase social hygiene measures and cleaning of shared surfaces. However, because the virus appears to have a low impact on children and children do not appear to be major spreaders of the disease, we believe it is prudent to re-open schools.
- **Increase surveillance for and containment of new cases:** South Korea has implemented rapid and extensive testing, combined with high-tech monitoring and public notifications, to identify and contain new cases. This approach has allowed those who are infected to be isolated, while allowing healthy people to go about their lives. The U.S. should emulate this style of response to new cases.
- **Accelerate development and distribution of therapeutic treatments:** Because therapeutics can reduce the burden on the healthcare system and reduce the risk in people returning to work and school, the development of new therapeutics and the distribution of FDA-approved ones should be prioritized. A safe and efficacious therapeutic could receive emergency use authorization or approval as early as the

late-spring or summer. Converting severe cases into mild cases could further reduce the strain on hospitals and allow a greater proportion of the employees back to work.

- **Prioritize vaccine research and development until mass vaccination possible:** When a safe and effective vaccine starts to become available, which we do not expect until the 2021 season, the vaccine should be made available to prioritized groups of people, such as front-line health care workers. Implementing mass vaccination should occur when supply is abundant. To achieve this, governments need to be partners in development. We believe governments should invest with companies to develop at-risk infrastructure to support wide availability of a vaccine. While some of this effort will produce unusable plants as some vaccines will fail, it is necessary to have the greatest near-term impact.

Disclosure Section

The information and opinions in Morgan Stanley Research were prepared by Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, and/or Morgan Stanley C.T.V.M. S.A., and/or Morgan Stanley Mexico, Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V., and/or Morgan Stanley Canada Limited. As used in this disclosure section, "Morgan Stanley" includes Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, Morgan Stanley C.T.V.M. S.A., Morgan Stanley Mexico, Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V., Morgan Stanley Canada Limited and their affiliates as necessary.

For important disclosures, stock price charts and equity rating histories regarding companies that are the subject of this report, please see the Morgan Stanley Research Disclosure Website at www.morganstanley.com/researchdisclosures, or contact your investment representative or Morgan Stanley Research at 1585 Broadway, (Attention: Research Management), New York, NY, 10036 USA.

For valuation methodology and risks associated with any recommendation, rating or price target referenced in this research report, please contact the Client Support Team as follows: US/Canada +1 800 303-2495; Hong Kong +852 2848-5999; Latin America +1 718 754-5444 (U.S.); London +44 (0)20-7425-8169; Singapore +65 6834-6860; Sydney +61 (0)2-9770-1505; Tokyo +81 (0)3-6836-9000. Alternatively you may contact your investment representative or Morgan Stanley Research at 1585 Broadway, (Attention: Research Management), New York, NY 10036 USA.

Analyst Certification

The following analysts hereby certify that their views about the companies and their securities discussed in this report are accurately expressed and that they have not received and will not receive direct or indirect compensation in exchange for expressing specific recommendations or views in this report: Matthew Harrison.

Unless otherwise stated, the individuals listed on the cover page of this report are research analysts.

Global Research Conflict Management Policy

Morgan Stanley Research has been published in accordance with our conflict management policy, which is available at www.morganstanley.com/institutional/research/conflictpolicies. A Portuguese version of the policy can be found at www.morganstanley.com.br

Important Regulatory Disclosures on Subject Companies

The analyst or strategist (or a household member) identified below owns the following securities (or related derivatives): Thomas F Lavery, J.D. - Amgen Inc. (common or preferred stock).

As of February 28, 2020, Morgan Stanley beneficially owned 1% or more of a class of common equity securities of the following companies covered in Morgan Stanley Research: Alnylam Pharmaceuticals Inc, Amgen Inc., Cytokinetics Inc, DBV Technologies SA, Editas Medicine, Moderna Inc, Radius Health Inc, SAGE Therapeutics Inc.

Within the last 12 months, Morgan Stanley managed or co-managed a public offering (or 144A offering) of securities of Alector Inc, Amgen Inc., Aprea Therapeutics Inc, argenx SE, AVROBIO Inc, Cabaletta Bio Inc, Cytokinetics Inc, Forty Seven Inc., Fulcrum Therapeutics Inc, Genmab A/S, Insmmed Inc, Moderna Inc, NextCure Inc., Prevail Therapeutics Inc, Rhythm Pharmaceuticals Inc, Schrodinger Inc., Viela Bio, Zealand Pharma A/S.

Within the last 12 months, Morgan Stanley has received compensation for investment banking services from Alector Inc, Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Amgen Inc., Aprea Therapeutics Inc, argenx SE, AVROBIO Inc, Biogen Inc, Cabaletta Bio Inc, Cytokinetics Inc, Forty Seven Inc., Fulcrum Therapeutics Inc, Galapagos NV, Genmab A/S, Insmmed Inc, Moderna Inc, NextCure Inc., Prevail Therapeutics Inc, Rhythm Pharmaceuticals Inc, Schrodinger Inc., Viela Bio, Zealand Pharma A/S.

In the next 3 months, Morgan Stanley expects to receive or intends to seek compensation for investment banking services from Acceleron Pharma Inc, Akebia Therapeutics Inc, Alector Inc, Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals Inc, Amgen Inc., Aprea Therapeutics Inc, argenx SE, Ascendis Pharma A/S, AVROBIO Inc, BeiGene Ltd, Biogen Inc, Biohaven Pharmaceutical Holding Company, Biomarin Pharmaceutical Inc, Bluebird Bio Inc, Blueprint Medicines Corporation, Cabaletta Bio Inc, Cytokinetics Inc, DBV Technologies SA, Denali Therapeutics Inc, Editas Medicine, Epizyme Inc, Evelo Biosciences Inc, Exelixis Inc., Forty Seven Inc., Fulcrum Therapeutics Inc, Galapagos NV, Genmab A/S, Gilead Sciences Inc., Global Blood Therapeutics Inc, Immunomedics Inc, Incyte Corp, Innoviva Inc, Insmmed Inc, Ionis Pharmaceuticals Inc, Ironwood Pharmaceuticals Inc., Kaleido Biosciences Inc., Kodiak Sciences Inc, Moderna Inc, MyoKardia Inc, Nabriva Therapeutics PLC, Neon Therapeutics Inc, Neurocrine Biosciences Inc, NextCure Inc., Portola Pharmaceuticals Inc, Prevail Therapeutics Inc, Radius Health Inc, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Regenxbio Inc, Rhythm Pharmaceuticals Inc, Rubius Therapeutics Inc., SAGE Therapeutics Inc, Sarepta Therapeutics Inc, Schrodinger Inc., Seattle Genetics Inc., Syndax Pharmaceuticals Inc, Ultragenyx Pharmaceutical Inc, Unity Biotechnology Inc., Unum Therapeutics Inc, Vertex Pharmaceuticals Inc, Viela Bio, Voyager Therapeutics Inc, Zealand Pharma A/S.

Within the last 12 months, Morgan Stanley has received compensation for products and services other than investment banking services from Amgen Inc., Biogen Inc, Galapagos NV, Gilead Sciences Inc., Vertex Pharmaceuticals.

Within the last 12 months, Morgan Stanley has provided or is providing investment banking services to, or has an investment banking client relationship with, the following company: Acceleron Pharma Inc, Akebia Therapeutics Inc, Alector Inc, Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals Inc, Amgen Inc., Aprea Therapeutics Inc, argenx SE, Ascendis Pharma A/S, AVROBIO Inc, BeiGene Ltd, Biogen Inc, Biohaven Pharmaceutical Holding Company, Biomarin Pharmaceutical Inc, Bluebird Bio Inc, Blueprint Medicines Corporation, Cabaletta Bio Inc, Cytokinetics Inc, DBV Technologies SA, Denali Therapeutics Inc, Editas Medicine, Epizyme Inc, Evelo Biosciences Inc, Exelixis Inc., Forty Seven Inc., Fulcrum Therapeutics Inc, Galapagos NV, Genmab A/S, Gilead Sciences Inc., Global Blood Therapeutics Inc, Immunomedics Inc, Incyte Corp, Innoviva Inc, Insmmed Inc, Ionis Pharmaceuticals Inc, Ironwood Pharmaceuticals Inc., Kaleido Biosciences Inc., Kodiak Sciences Inc., Nabriva Therapeutics PLC, Neon Therapeutics Inc, Neurocrine Biosciences Inc, NextCure Inc., Portola Pharmaceuticals Inc, Prevail Therapeutics Inc, Radius Health Inc, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Regenxbio Inc, Rhythm Pharmaceuticals Inc, Rubius Therapeutics Inc., SAGE Therapeutics Inc, Sarepta Therapeutics Inc, Schrodinger Inc., Seattle Genetics Inc., Syndax Pharmaceuticals Inc, Ultragenyx Pharmaceutical Inc, Unity Biotechnology Inc., Unum Therapeutics Inc, Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Viela Bio, Voyager Therapeutics Inc, Zealand Pharma A/S.

Within the last 12 months, Morgan Stanley has either provided or is providing non-investment banking, securities-related services to and/or in the past has entered into an agreement to provide services or has a client relationship with the following company: Amgen Inc., BeiGene Ltd, Biogen Inc, Biomarin Pharmaceutical Inc, Cytokinetics Inc, DBV Technologies SA, Galapagos NV, Genmab A/S, Gilead Sciences Inc., Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Vertex Pharmaceuticals.

Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC makes a market in the securities of Acceleron Pharma Inc, Akebia Therapeutics Inc, Alector Inc, Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals Inc, Amgen Inc., AVROBIO Inc, Biogen Inc, Biomarin Pharmaceutical Inc, Bluebird Bio Inc, Blueprint Medicines Corporation, Cabaletta Bio Inc, Cytokinetics Inc, Epizyme Inc, Evelo Biosciences Inc, Exelixis Inc., Forty Seven Inc., Fulcrum Therapeutics Inc, Gilead Sciences Inc., Global Blood Therapeutics Inc, Immunomedics Inc, Incyte Corp, Innoviva Inc, Insmmed Inc, Ionis Pharmaceuticals Inc, Ironwood Pharmaceuticals Inc., Kodiak Sciences Inc, MacroGenics Inc, Moderna Inc, Neon Therapeutics Inc, Neurocrine Biosciences Inc, NextCure Inc., Portola Pharmaceuticals Inc, Prevail Therapeutics Inc, Radius Health Inc, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Rhythm Pharmaceuticals Inc, Schrodinger Inc., Seattle Genetics Inc., Ultragenyx Pharmaceutical Inc, Unum Therapeutics Inc, Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Zealand Pharma A/S.

The equity research analysts or strategists principally responsible for the preparation of Morgan Stanley Research have received compensation based upon various factors, including quality of research, investor client feedback, stock picking, competitive factors, firm revenues and overall investment banking revenues. Equity Research analysts' or strategists' compensation is not linked to investment banking or capital markets transactions performed by Morgan Stanley or the profitability or revenues of particular trading desks.

Morgan Stanley and its affiliates do business that relates to companies/instruments covered in Morgan Stanley Research, including market making, providing liquidity, fund management, commercial banking, extension of credit, investment services and investment banking. Morgan Stanley sells to and buys from customers the securities/instruments of companies covered in Morgan Stanley Research on a principal basis. Morgan Stanley may have a position in the debt of the Company or instruments discussed in this report. Morgan Stanley trades or may trade as principal in the debt securities (or in related derivatives) that are the subject of the debt research report.

Certain disclosures listed above are also for compliance with applicable regulations in non-US jurisdictions.

STOCK RATINGS

Morgan Stanley uses a relative rating system using terms such as Overweight, Equal-weight, Not-Rated or Underweight (see definitions below). Morgan Stanley does not assign ratings of Buy, Hold or Sell to the stocks we cover. Overweight, Equal-weight, Not-Rated and Underweight are not the equivalent of buy, hold and sell. Investors should carefully read the definitions of all ratings used in Morgan Stanley Research. In addition, since Morgan Stanley Research contains more complete information concerning the analyst's views, investors should carefully read Morgan Stanley Research, in its entirety, and not infer the contents from the rating alone. In any case, ratings (or research) should not be used or relied upon as investment advice. An investor's decision to buy or sell a stock should depend on individual circumstances (such as the investor's existing holdings) and other considerations.

Global Stock Ratings Distribution

(as of March 31, 2020)

The Stock Ratings described below apply to Morgan Stanley's Fundamental Equity Research and do not apply to Debt Research produced by the Firm. For disclosure purposes only (in accordance with FINRA requirements), we include the category headings of Buy, Hold, and Sell alongside our ratings of Overweight, Equal-weight, Not-Rated and Underweight. Morgan Stanley does not assign ratings of Buy, Hold or Sell to the stocks we cover. Overweight, Equal-weight, Not-Rated and Underweight are not the equivalent of buy, hold, and sell but represent recommended relative weightings (see definitions below). To satisfy regulatory requirements, we correspond Overweight, our most positive stock rating, with a buy recommendation; we correspond Equal-weight and Not-Rated to hold and Underweight to sell recommendations, respectively.

STOCK RATING CATEGORY	COVERAGE UNIVERSE		INVESTMENT BANKING CLIENTS (IBC)			OTHER MATERIAL INVESTMENT SERVICES CLIENTS (MISC)	
	COUNT	% OF TOTAL	COUNT	% OF TOTAL IBC	% OF RATING CATEGORY	COUNT	% OF TOTAL OTHER MISC
Overweight/Buy	1228	38%	306	44%	25%	543	37%
Equal-weight/Hold	1437	45%	322	46%	22%	702	48%
Not-Rated/Hold	2	0%	0	0%	0%	2	0%
Underweight/Sell	543	17%	72	10%	13%	212	15%
TOTAL	3,210		700			1459	

Data include common stock and ADRs currently assigned ratings. Investment Banking Clients are companies from whom Morgan Stanley received investment banking compensation in the last 12 months. Due to rounding off of decimals, the percentages provided in the "% of total" column may not add up to exactly 100 percent.

Analyst Stock Ratings

Overweight (O). The stock's total return is expected to exceed the average total return of the analyst's industry (or industry team's) coverage universe, on a risk-adjusted basis, over the next 12-18 months.

Equal-weight (E). The stock's total return is expected to be in line with the average total return of the analyst's industry (or industry team's) coverage universe, on a risk-adjusted basis, over the next 12-18 months.

Not-Rated (NR). Currently the analyst does not have adequate conviction about the stock's total return relative to the average total return of the analyst's industry (or industry team's) coverage universe, on a risk-adjusted basis, over the next 12-18 months.

Underweight (U). The stock's total return is expected to be below the average total return of the analyst's industry (or industry team's) coverage universe, on a risk-adjusted basis, over the next 12-18 months.

Unless otherwise specified, the time frame for price targets included in Morgan Stanley Research is 12 to 18 months.

Analyst Industry Views

Attractive (A): The analyst expects the performance of his or her industry coverage universe over the next 12-18 months to be attractive vs. the relevant broad market benchmark, as indicated below.

In-Line (I): The analyst expects the performance of his or her industry coverage universe over the next 12-18 months to be in line with the relevant broad market benchmark, as indicated below.

Cautious (C): The analyst views the performance of his or her industry coverage universe over the next 12-18 months with caution vs. the relevant broad market benchmark, as indicated below.

Benchmarks for each region are as follows: North America - S&P 500; Latin America - relevant MSCI country index or MSCI Latin America Index; Europe - MSCI Europe; Japan - TOPIX; Asia - relevant MSCI country index or MSCI sub-regional index or MSCI AC Asia Pacific ex Japan Index.

Important Disclosures for Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC Customers

Important disclosures regarding the relationship between the companies that are the subject of Morgan Stanley Research and Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC or Morgan Stanley or any of their affiliates, are available on the Morgan Stanley Wealth Management disclosure website at www.morganstanley.com/online/researchdisclosures. For Morgan Stanley specific disclosures, you may refer to www.morganstanley.com/researchdisclosures.

Each Morgan Stanley Equity Research report is reviewed and approved on behalf of Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. This review and approval is conducted by the same person who reviews the Equity Research report on behalf of Morgan Stanley. This could create a conflict of interest.

Other Important Disclosures

Morgan Stanley & Co. International PLC and its affiliates have a significant financial interest in the debt securities of Amgen Inc., Biogen Inc, Cytokinetics Inc,

Gilead Sciences Inc., Insmed Inc, Ionis Pharmaceuticals Inc, Neurocrine Biosciences Inc, Radius Health Inc, Sarepta Therapeutics Inc.

Morgan Stanley Research policy is to update research reports as and when the Research Analyst and Research Management deem appropriate, based on developments with the issuer, the sector, or the market that may have a material impact on the research views or opinions stated therein. In addition, certain Research publications are intended to be updated on a regular periodic basis (weekly/monthly/quarterly/annual) and will ordinarily be updated with that frequency, unless the Research Analyst and Research Management determine that a different publication schedule is appropriate based on current conditions. Morgan Stanley is not acting as a municipal advisor and the opinions or views contained herein are not intended to be, and do not constitute, advice within the meaning of Section 975 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

Morgan Stanley produces an equity research product called a "Tactical Idea." Views contained in a "Tactical Idea" on a particular stock may be contrary to the recommendations or views expressed in research on the same stock. This may be the result of differing time horizons, methodologies, market events, or other factors. For all research available on a particular stock, please contact your sales representative or go to Matrix at <http://www.morganstanley.com/matrix>. Morgan Stanley Research is provided to our clients through our proprietary research portal on Matrix and also distributed electronically by Morgan Stanley to clients. Certain, but not all, Morgan Stanley Research products are also made available to clients through third-party vendors or redistributed to clients through alternate electronic means as a convenience. For access to all available Morgan Stanley Research, please contact your sales representative or go to Matrix at <http://www.morganstanley.com/matrix>.

Any access and/or use of Morgan Stanley Research is subject to Morgan Stanley's Terms of Use (<http://www.morganstanley.com/terms.html>). By accessing and/or using Morgan Stanley Research, you are indicating that you have read and agree to be bound by our Terms of Use (<http://www.morganstanley.com/terms.html>). In addition you consent to Morgan Stanley processing your personal data and using cookies in accordance with our Privacy Policy and our Global Cookies Policy (http://www.morganstanley.com/privacy_pledge.html), including for the purposes of setting your preferences and to collect readership data so that we can deliver better and more personalized service and products to you. To find out more information about how Morgan Stanley processes personal data, how we use cookies and how to reject cookies see our Privacy Policy and our Global Cookies Policy (http://www.morganstanley.com/privacy_pledge.html).

If you do not agree to our Terms of Use and/or if you do not wish to provide your consent to Morgan Stanley processing your personal data or using cookies please do not access our research.

Morgan Stanley Research does not provide individually tailored investment advice. Morgan Stanley Research has been prepared without regard to the circumstances and objectives of those who receive it. Morgan Stanley recommends that investors independently evaluate particular investments and strategies, and encourages investors to seek the advice of a financial adviser. The appropriateness of an investment or strategy will depend on an investor's circumstances and objectives. The securities, instruments, or strategies discussed in Morgan Stanley Research may not be suitable for all investors, and certain investors may not be eligible to purchase or participate in some or all of them. Morgan Stanley Research is not an offer to buy or sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security/instrument or to participate in any particular trading strategy. The value of and income from your investments may vary because of changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, default rates, prepayment rates, securities/instruments prices, market indexes, operational or financial conditions of companies or other factors. There may be time limitations on the exercise of options or other rights in securities/instruments transactions. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Estimates of future performance are based on assumptions that may not be realized. If provided, and unless otherwise stated, the closing price on the cover page is that of the primary exchange for the subject company's securities/instruments.

The fixed income research analysts, strategists or economists principally responsible for the preparation of Morgan Stanley Research have received compensation based upon various factors, including quality, accuracy and value of research, firm profitability or revenues (which include fixed income trading and capital markets profitability or revenues), client feedback and competitive factors. Fixed Income Research analysts', strategists' or economists' compensation is not linked to investment banking or capital markets transactions performed by Morgan Stanley or the profitability or revenues of particular trading desks.

The "Important Regulatory Disclosures on Subject Companies" section in Morgan Stanley Research lists all companies mentioned where Morgan Stanley owns 1% or more of a class of common equity securities of the companies. For all other companies mentioned in Morgan Stanley Research, Morgan Stanley may have an investment of less than 1% in securities/instruments or derivatives of securities/instruments of companies and may trade them in ways different from those discussed in Morgan Stanley Research. Employees of Morgan Stanley not involved in the preparation of Morgan Stanley Research may have investments in securities/instruments or derivatives of securities/instruments of companies mentioned and may trade them in ways different from those discussed in Morgan Stanley Research. Derivatives may be issued by Morgan Stanley or associated persons.

With the exception of information regarding Morgan Stanley, Morgan Stanley Research is based on public information. Morgan Stanley makes every effort to use reliable, comprehensive information, but we make no representation that it is accurate or complete. We have no obligation to tell you when opinions or information in Morgan Stanley Research change apart from when we intend to discontinue equity research coverage of a subject company. Facts and views presented in Morgan Stanley Research have not been reviewed by, and may not reflect information known to, professionals in other Morgan Stanley business areas, including investment banking personnel.

Morgan Stanley Research personnel may participate in company events such as site visits and are generally prohibited from accepting payment by the company of associated expenses unless pre-approved by authorized members of Research management.

Morgan Stanley may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations or views in this report.

To our readers based in Taiwan or trading in Taiwan securities/instruments: Information on securities/instruments that trade in Taiwan is distributed by Morgan Stanley Taiwan Limited ("MSTL"). Such information is for your reference only. The reader should independently evaluate the investment risks and is solely responsible for their investment decisions. Morgan Stanley Research may not be distributed to the public media or quoted or used by the public media without the express written consent of Morgan Stanley. Any non-customer reader within the scope of Article 7-1 of the Taiwan Stock Exchange Recommendation Regulations accessing and/or receiving Morgan Stanley Research is not permitted to provide Morgan Stanley Research to any third party (including but not limited to related parties, affiliated companies and any other third parties) or engage in any activities regarding Morgan Stanley Research which may create or give the appearance of creating a conflict of interest. Information on securities/instruments that do not trade in Taiwan is for informational purposes only and is not to be construed as a recommendation or a solicitation to trade in such securities/instruments. MSTL may not execute transactions for clients in these securities/instruments.

Morgan Stanley is not incorporated under PRC law and the research in relation to this report is conducted outside the PRC. Morgan Stanley Research does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities in the PRC. PRC investors shall have the relevant qualifications to invest in such securities and shall be responsible for obtaining all relevant approvals, licenses, verifications and/or registrations from the relevant governmental authorities themselves. Neither this report nor any part of it is intended as, or shall constitute, provision of any consultancy or advisory service of securities investment as defined under PRC law. Such information is provided for your reference only.

Morgan Stanley Research is disseminated in Brazil by Morgan Stanley C.T.V.M. S.A. located at Av. Brigadeiro Faria Lima, 3600, 6th floor, São Paulo - SP, Brazil; and is regulated by the Comissão de Valores Mobiliários; in Mexico by Morgan Stanley México, Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V which is regulated by Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores. Paseo de los Tamarindos 90, Torre 1, Col. Bosques de las Lomas Floor 29, 05120 Mexico City; in Japan by Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities Co., Ltd. and, for Commodities related research reports only, Morgan Stanley Capital Group Japan Co., Ltd; in Hong Kong by Morgan Stanley Asia Limited (which accepts responsibility for its contents) and by Morgan Stanley Asia International Limited, Hong Kong Branch; in Singapore by Morgan Stanley Asia (Singapore) Pte. (Registration number 199206298Z) and/or Morgan Stanley Asia (Singapore) Securities Pte Ltd (Registration number 200008434H), regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore (which accepts legal responsibility for its contents and should be contacted with respect to any matters arising from, or in connection with, Morgan Stanley Research) and by Morgan Stanley Asia International Limited,

Singapore Branch (Registration number T11FC0207F); in Australia to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of the Australian Corporations Act by Morgan Stanley Australia Limited A.B.N. 67 003 734 576, holder of Australian financial services license No. 233742, which accepts responsibility for its contents; in Australia to "wholesale clients" and "retail clients" within the meaning of the Australian Corporations Act by Morgan Stanley Wealth Management Australia Pty Ltd (A.B.N. 19 009 145 555, holder of Australian financial services license No. 240813, which accepts responsibility for its contents; in Korea by Morgan Stanley & Co International plc, Seoul Branch; in India by Morgan Stanley India Company Private Limited; in Indonesia by PT. Morgan Stanley Sekuritas Indonesia; in Canada by Morgan Stanley Canada Limited, which has approved of and takes responsibility for its contents in Canada; in Germany and the European Economic Area where required by Morgan Stanley Europe S.E., regulated by Bundesanstalt fuer Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (BaFin); in Spain by Morgan Stanley, S.V., S.A., a Morgan Stanley group company, which is supervised by the Spanish Securities Markets Commission (CNMV) and states that Morgan Stanley Research has been written and distributed in accordance with the rules of conduct applicable to financial research as established under Spanish regulations; in the US by Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, which accepts responsibility for its contents. Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc, authorized by the Prudential Regulatory Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulatory Authority, disseminates in the UK research that it has prepared, and approves solely for the purposes of section 21 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000, research which has been prepared by any of its affiliates. RMB Morgan Stanley Proprietary Limited is a member of the JSE Limited and A2X (Pty) Ltd. RMB Morgan Stanley Proprietary Limited is a joint venture owned equally by Morgan Stanley International Holdings Inc. and RMB Investment Advisory (Proprietary) Limited, which is wholly owned by FirstRand Limited. The information in Morgan Stanley Research is being disseminated by Morgan Stanley Saudi Arabia, regulated by the Capital Market Authority in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and is directed at Sophisticated investors only.

The information in Morgan Stanley Research is being communicated by Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc (DIFC Branch), regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority (the DFSA), and is directed at Professional Clients only, as defined by the DFSA. The financial products or financial services to which this research relates will only be made available to a customer who we are satisfied meets the regulatory criteria to be a Professional Client. The information in Morgan Stanley Research is being communicated by Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc (QFC Branch), regulated by the Qatar Financial Centre Regulatory Authority (the QFCRA), and is directed at business customers and market counterparties only and is not intended for Retail Customers as defined by the QFCRA.

As required by the Capital Markets Board of Turkey, investment information, comments and recommendations stated here, are not within the scope of investment advisory activity. Investment advisory service is provided exclusively to persons based on their risk and income preferences by the authorized firms. Comments and recommendations stated here are general in nature. These opinions may not fit to your financial status, risk and return preferences. For this reason, to make an investment decision by relying solely to this information stated here may not bring about outcomes that fit your expectations.

The trademarks and service marks contained in Morgan Stanley Research are the property of their respective owners. Third-party data providers make no warranties or representations relating to the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the data they provide and shall not have liability for any damages relating to such data. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and S&P.

Morgan Stanley Research, or any portion thereof may not be reprinted, sold or redistributed without the written consent of Morgan Stanley.

Indicators and trackers referenced in Morgan Stanley Research may not be used as, or treated as, a benchmark under Regulation EU 2016/1011, or any other similar framework.

INDUSTRY COVERAGE: Biotechnology

COMPANY (TICKER)	RATING (AS OF)	PRICE* (04/02/2020)
David N Lebowitz, CFA, MPH		
Akebia Therapeutics Inc (AKBA.O)	E (09/07/2018)	\$7.07
Anylam Pharmaceuticals Inc (ALNY.O)	O (03/05/2019)	\$104.21
Ascendis Pharma A/S (ASND.O)	O (10/11/2019)	\$110.87
AVROBIO Inc (AVRO.O)	O (07/16/2018)	\$13.34
Blueprint Medicines Corporation (BPMC.O)	O (04/03/2019)	\$60.39
Epizyme Inc (EPZMO)	O (12/05/2019)	\$14.26
Ionis Pharmaceuticals Inc (IONS.O)	U (11/07/2019)	\$49.01
Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (IRWD.O)	E (03/27/2019)	\$10.10
MacroGenics Inc (MGNX.O)	U (11/21/2019)	\$5.41
Nabriva Therapeutics PLC (NBRV.O)	E (03/17/2020)	\$0.53
Rhythm Pharmaceuticals Inc (RYTM.O)	O (09/07/2018)	\$15.04
Schrodinger Inc. (SDGR.O)	E (03/02/2020)	\$37.95
Syndax Pharmaceuticals Inc (SNDX.O)	E (10/29/2018)	\$9.74
Zealand Pharma A/S (ZEAL.O)	O (09/12/2018)	\$31.72
Jeffrey Hung		
Acceleron Pharma Inc (XLRN.O)	O (02/03/2020)	\$83.60
Aprea Therapeutics Inc (APRE.O)	E (10/28/2019)	\$32.50
Cytokinetics Inc (CYTK.O)	E (09/10/2018)	\$12.05
Exelixis Inc. (EXEL.O)	E (03/18/2019)	\$16.65
MyoKardia Inc (MYOK.O)	O (09/10/2018)	\$46.40
Neurocrine Biosciences Inc (NBIX.O)	O (09/10/2018)	\$86.31
NextCure Inc. (NXTC.O)	O (06/03/2019)	\$35.88
Prevail Therapeutics Inc (PRVL.O)	O (07/15/2019)	\$12.11
Ultragenyx Pharmaceutical Inc (RARE.O)	O (03/27/2019)	\$50.02
Viebia Bio (VE.O)	O (10/28/2019)	\$31.99
Voyager Therapeutics Inc (VYGR.O)	E (09/10/2018)	\$8.70
Matthew Harrison		

Alector Inc (ALEC.O)	O (03/04/2019)	\$22.75
Alexion Pharmaceuticals (ALXN.O)	E (12/17/2019)	\$90.35
Amgen Inc. (AMGN.O)	O (12/17/2019)	\$208.88
argenx SE (ARGX.O)	O (01/04/2019)	\$133.31
BeiGene Ltd (6160.HK)		HK\$74.50
BeiGene Ltd (BGNE.O)	O (01/17/2020)	\$136.36
Biogen Inc (BIIB.O)	U (03/22/2019)	\$304.47
Biohaven Pharmaceutical Holding Company (BHMN.N)	E (04/09/2019)	\$30.30
Biomarin Pharmaceutical Inc (BMRN.O)	O (02/07/2017)	\$86.06
Bluebird Bio Inc (BLUE.O)	E (11/03/2017)	\$42.61
Cabaletta Bio Inc (CABA.O)	O (11/19/2019)	\$6.82
Denali Therapeutics Inc (DNLI.O)	O (01/02/2018)	\$17.44
Editas Medicine (EDIT.O)	E (02/29/2016)	\$19.98
Evelo Biosciences Inc (EVLO.O)	O (06/04/2018)	\$3.70
Forty Seven Inc. (FTSV.O)	E (03/03/2020)	\$95.43
Fulcrum Therapeutics Inc (FULC.O)	O (08/12/2019)	\$10.43
Galapagos NV (GLPG.O)	E (12/17/2019)	\$190.01
Genmab A/S (GMAB.CO)	O (08/12/2019)	Dkr 1,379.00
Genmab A/S (GMAB.O)	O (08/12/2019)	\$20.30
Gilead Sciences Inc. (GILD.O)	E (10/01/2015)	\$76.98
Global Blood Therapeutics Inc (GBT.O)	E (03/21/2018)	\$50.56
Immunomedics Inc (IMMU.O)	E (01/22/2019)	\$10.65
Innoviva Inc (INVA.O)	U (08/14/2014)	\$12.12
Insmid Inc (INSMO)	O (03/21/2018)	\$15.11
Kaleido Biosciences Inc. (KLDO.O)	O (03/25/2019)	\$5.35
Kodiak Sciences Inc (KOD.O)	O (10/29/2018)	\$43.72
Moderna Inc (MRNA.O)	O (01/02/2019)	\$33.20
Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc. (REGN.O)	E (10/01/2015)	\$498.75
Regenxbio Inc (RGNX.O)	O (11/09/2017)	\$29.43
Rubius Therapeutics Inc. (RUBY.O)	E (03/13/2020)	\$4.25
SAGE Therapeutics Inc (SAGE.O)	O (02/26/2018)	\$26.75
Sarepta Therapeutics Inc (SRPT.O)	O (08/01/2018)	\$95.98
Seattle Genetics Inc. (SGEN.O)	E (12/10/2019)	\$118.67
Unity Biotechnology Inc. (UBX.O)	O (05/29/2018)	\$5.82
Vertex Pharmaceuticals (VRTX.O)	O (10/01/2015)	\$242.16

Vikram Purohit

DBV Technologies SA (DBVT.O)	E (10/23/2017)	\$3.91
Incyte Corp (INCY.O)	O (04/01/2020)	\$77.07
Neon Therapeutics Inc (NTGN.O)	E (01/16/2020)	\$2.60
Portola Pharmaceuticals Inc (PTLA.O)	E (09/05/2019)	\$7.13
Radius Health Inc (RDUS.O)	O (09/05/2019)	\$12.53
Unum Therapeutics Inc (UMRX.O)	E (03/03/2020)	\$0.35

Stock Ratings are subject to change. Please see latest research for each company.

* Historical prices are not split adjusted.